			yn.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Madia - FAN 1994	10/053,701	IWASE ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Y. Chung	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.			
1. This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed October 22, 2003</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-14</u> .			
3. 🗵 The drawings filed on 24 January 2002 are accepted by the Examiner.			
 4.			
1. 🛮 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the			
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 			
(a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority ur in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application 	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 sir Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	nce a specific reference	ce was included
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of the complyment of the complex comp	this communication to file a reply cothis application. THIS THREE-MON	mplying with the requ	irements noted EXTENDABLE
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 			OTICE OF
 8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No (b) including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed, which has been approved by the Examiner. 			
(c) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No			
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.	84(c)) should be written on the drawir	ngs in the front (not the	
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	ne margin according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.			
Attachment(s)			
1⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO	-152)
 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No 	6☐ Interview Summary (F	, ,	· · · · ·
4 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8⊠ Examiner's Statemen 9□ Other	t of Reasons for Allow	vance

Application/Control Number: 10/053,701 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art did not teach or suggest a display unit comprising: a substrate provided with light-emitting devices and inter-device wiring in a display region, an opposed substrate with having a black matrix forming a pattern to cover at least the inter-device wiring, and a sealing adhesive resin charged and filling the space between the two substrates in the condition of sealing the light-emitting devices. Eida et al. (U.S. 5,909,081) did not disclose an adhesive resin filling the space between the substrates. Nishi et al. (U.S. 6,624,570) did not disclose a black matrix covering the display wiring. Providing such a black matrix would not have been obvious because it would have caused the display pixels to be blocked. Furthermore, the prior art did not teach or suggest aligning two substrates after adhering them via an adhesive resin, but before the adhesive resin is cured, as recited in claim 12.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

**ROBERT H. KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

David Chung GAU 2871 01/12/04